

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CR-4341-2016

Date of decision : 14.07.2016

Jaspreet Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Rajinder Singh and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Ms.Puja Chopra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that vide the impugned order dated 27.05.2016, the learned Additional Civil Judge has allowed the respondents-defendants to place an order dated 02.09.2015, passed by the Divisional Commissioner, Patiala, on record on the case file, by way of additional evidence.

She submits as a matter of fact that the said order is not relevant to the controversy involved in the suit land. However, no reasons are seen to have been discussed in the impugned order, as to whether the order of the Divisional Commissioner is relevant or not relevant.

Consequently, this petition is dismissed but with liberty to the petitioner to rebut the additional evidence taken on record vide the impugned order, which liberty in any case would be available to him.

However, it is made clear that opportunity to lead rebuttal evidence to the petitioner will be limited to one effective opportunity and not beyond two months from today.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE