

**108 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-4016-2014 (O&M)

Date of decision : 09.05.2016

Rakesh Kumar

..... Petitioner

versus

Balwinder Singh

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. R.S.Manhas, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manoj Dhiman, Advocate
for the respondent.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This revision has been filed against the concurrent orders of the Courts below allowing ejectment of the petitioner-tenant from the demised premises.

Today on instructions from his client the learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that the petitioner will not press this petition on merits and will vacate the premises voluntarily on or before 30.04.2017 without forcing the respondent to file an execution petition and will continue to pay the rent in advance by the 7th of every month on contractual rate and pay the other admissible charges regularly. He further undertakes to pay arrears of rent, if any, within a period of one month from today.

Learned counsel for the respondent after taking instructions has also accepted this offer on the condition that the petitioner will file an undertaking to the above effect before the Executing Court within one month, failing which and on default of any other condition, this revision should be deemed to be dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has accepted this condition.

In the circumstances, the revision petition is disposed of in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

May 09, 2016
Pooja sharma-I