

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 4269 of 2016
Date of decision: 12.07.2016

Prem Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

Rajinder Kumar

....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH
DHALIWAL**

Present: Mr. Rajan Bansal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Paramjeet Singh Dhaliwal, J. (Oral)

The instant civil revision under Article 227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for setting aside the impugned order dated 09.05.2016 (Annexure P-5) passed by the Rent Controller, Bathinda, whereby the application of the petitioner for amendment of the written statement has been declined.

Prayer of the petitioner is that in case the averments sought to be made through amendment are not allowed to be made in the reply then he will be deprived of tendering subsequent evidence by way of judgment. This cannot be a ground for amendment. So far as the judgment, which the petitioner wants to tender in evidence being a subsequent event is concerned, he can always move application for additional evidence. Otherwise also, the certified copy of the judgment is *per se* admissible document and the Court will look into the same, if it is relevant.

In view of above, I do not find any illegality or

perversity in the order, dated 09.5.2016 (Annexure P-5) passed by the
Rent Controller Bathinda.

Dismissed.

12.07.2016

PA

(Paramjeet Singh Dhaliwal)
Judge