

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

C.R. No. 4147 of 2013

Date of Decision: February 25, 2016

Raminderjit Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

Gurvinderjit Singh Pelia

... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH
DHALIWAL**

Present: Mr. Ajay Singla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. S.S.Saio, Advocate,
for the respondent.

Paramjeet Singh Dhaliwal, J. (Oral)

Instant revision petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for modifying the order dated 08.05.2013 (Annexure P/5) passed by learned Additional District Judge, Jalandhar, whereby Rs.2500/- per month as maintenance *pendente lite* and Rs.5000/- as litigation expenses has been awarded.

Brief facts of the case are that petitioner filed a petition under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act (hereinafter referred to as the "Act") and along with it, an application under Section 24 of the Act for grant of interim maintenance *pendente lite* and litigation expenses was also filed. Learned Additional District Judge, Jalandhar, after appreciating the facts and income of respective parties, awarded Rs.2500/- per month as maintenance *pendente lite* and Rs.5000/- as litigation expenses. Now,

present petition has been filed by the petitioner for enhancement of maintenance *pendente lite* and litigation expenses, as these are too meagre in the present days of high prices. These are required to be enhanced. Hence, instant revision petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

During the arguments, it transpired that the matter can be amicably settled since petition under Section 13 of the Act has already been decided. Learned counsel for the respondent made a statement on 24.02.2016 that he would bring some substantial amount to finally settle the matter in question. Learned counsel for the respondent further submits that the petitioner has lodged FIR No. 7 dated 23.11.2011 at Police Station NRI, Jalandhar City, under Sections 406/498-A of the Indian Penal Code against the respondent and his father. The petitioner should cooperate in getting the said FIR quashed.

In pursuance of order dated 24.02.2016, learned counsel for the respondent has brought a draft of Rs. 50,000/- in favour of the petitioner. This amount is in full and final settlement of the maintenance under Section 24 of the Act. Learned counsel for the petitioner accepts the draft of Rs.50,000/- as a full and final settlement of maintenance *pendente lite*, under Section 24 of the Act. Photocopy of the draft has been retained on the file.

In view of above, present revision petition is disposed of in the above terms. The respondent will be at liberty to initiate appropriate proceedings for quashing of FIR, if any, in accordance with law. The petitioner would cooperate in getting the said FIR quashed through the

process of law.

February 25, 2016
kanchan/vkd

[Paramjeet Singh Dhaliwal]
Judge