

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.4153 of 2016

Date of Decision: December 06, 2016

Rajinder Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Makhan Singh & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

Present: Mr.Veneet Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.V.K.Sandhir, Advocate,
for the respondents.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Petitioner-defendant is aggrieved of the impugned order, whereby the application for examining the Handwriting Expert for comparing the signatures of deceased Gurdev appearing on the sale deed dated 3.5.1974 brought on record has been dismissed on the premise that Gurdev was dead way back in the year 1990.

Mr.Veneet Sharma, learned counsel for the petitioner-defendant submits that the comparison of signatures of Gurdev had to be done from the sale deed dated 3.5.1974 in the office of the Registrar and as well as account opening form. Mr.Sharma, when confronted with by this Court as to whether those documents were brought on record or not, the answer is in 'negative'.

Mr.V.K.Sandhir, learned counsel for the respondents, on instructions, submits that the aforementioned documents have not been

brought on record.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties, appraised the paper book and of the view that filing of the application, aforementioned, was premature. There was no occasion for the Expert to do the comparison in the absence of the documents.

For the foregoing reasons, no ground for interference is made out.

Revision petition stands dismissed.

December 06, 2016
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No