

**113 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-413-2016

Date of decision : 22.01.2016

Sunit Saidh

..... Petitioner

versus

Kamlesh Tuli

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. H.S.Bhullar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Divanshu Jain, Advocate for the caveator-respondent.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

By this petition the petitioner has challenged the concurrent order of eviction passed against him. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has stated at the outset that he would not press this petition on merits and would undertake to vacate the premises voluntarily without forcing the respondent-landlord to file execution petition in case six months time is granted to him. Mr.Divanshu Jain, Advocate appearing for the caveator-respondent has accepted this proposal of learned counsel for the petitioner.

In the circumstances the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is accepted and he is granted time till 31.07.2016 to vacate the premises voluntarily subject to his filing the necessary undertaking before the Rent Controller within a period of 15 days. It is further clarified that in case the necessary undertaking is not filed before the Rent Controller within the aforesaid time, the present petition would be deemed to be dismissed.

January 22, 2016
sunita

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**