

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

C.R. No.4103 of 2015

Date of Decision.12.01.2016

Amit Tanwar

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Smt. Susmita

.....Respondent

Present: Mr. Jagmohan S. Ghuman, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The revision petition is against the claim of maintenance brought at the instance of the wife in matrimonial proceeding. The Court looked into the assertion made by the wife that the husband owned two JCB machines, two dumpers, four tractors and was earning about ₹5 lacs and awarded ₹15,000/- as interim maintenance.

2. The grievance of the husband is that the wife is a graduate but he is only a 10+2 pass and that he has no income at all. There was no proof adduced by the wife to show as to how he was making a living or filed any document to prove that he is having ownership over dumpers, tractors etc.

3. I asked the counsel to explain as to how he got married and how he was supporting her. Admittedly, he is member of a family which is reasonably wealthy and the Court has taken note of the assertion made by the wife to provide for interim maintenance. There had been also

direction by this Court on previous occasion that the husband must disclose by means of affidavit to show what he was earning and how he was carrying on his living. There is no such affidavit given.

4. I do not find any reason for making an intervention. The revision petition is dismissed.

(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE

January 12, 2016
Pankaj*