

115

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.4025 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 03.06.2016**

M/s Eastern Tradings and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

M/s Mohina Properties (Pvt.) Limited and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Gaurav Chopra, Advocate
for the petitioners.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

The limited prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioners is that the eviction order was passed on 19.04.2016 and appeal was filed on 23.05.2016 alongwith an application for stay and the matter is now posted to 05.07.2016 without any order thereon. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that it would cause needless prejudice to the petitioners because the Rent Controller had granted two months time to vacate the premises which would expire on 18.06.2016 and consequently prays for a direction to the Appellate Authority to decide the stay application on the next date fixed and to grant interim protection to the petitioners till then.

I find this to be a fair request.

Resultantly, the Appellate Authority is directed to decide the application for stay on its merits on expeditiously and, till such time an order is passed thereon the eviction of the petitioners shall remain stayed.

Petition stands disposed of.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

June 03, 2016

pooja sharma-I

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**