

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

CR No. 3758 of 2014
Date of decision : February 12, 2016

Sukhdev Singh and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

Mukhtiar Singh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. Atul Gaur, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Kanwaljit Singh, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Amandeep Singh, Advocate
for respondent Nos. 24 to 29.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J (oral).

The petitioners are aggrieved of the order whereby they sought consolidation of two suits, the suit filed in the year 2008 they are defendants and filed in the year 2013 as plaintiffs. The trial court has declined the application on the ground that, in the suit filed in the year 2008 evidence at the behest of the plaintiff has already been lead. The defence taken in the suit filed in the year 2008 is being sought to be taken in affirmative in the suit filed in the year 2013.

The petitioners are at liberty to prove the assertions made in the suit by the defendants in the suit filed in the year 2008.

I do not find any illegality and perversity in the

impugned order and the same cannot be said to have been passed without jurisdiction.

The revision petition is devoid of merits.

Accordingly the same is dismissed.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

February 12 , 2016
archana