

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

CR No. 4014 of 2016
Date of decision : June 3, 2016

Smt. Santosh Kumari

..... Petitioner

Versus

Shiv Charan and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. Naresh Chander, Advocate for
Mr. R. S. Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J (oral).

After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that against the judgment and decree in the present case already a Regular Second Appeal bearing No.3937 of 2014 is pending adjudication before this Court and this revision petition has arisen from dismissal of the objections filed against the judgment and decree.

In view of the fact that petitioner has already availed the remedy he cannot be permitted to file objections and submits that the revision petition has rendered infructuous and the same be disposed of as such.

Ordered accordingly.

The revision petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

June 3, 2016
archana