

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.401 of 2016
Date of Decision.21.01.2016**

Rattan SinghPetitioner

Vs.

Sudan SinghRespondent

Present: Mr. Narender Kaajla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. It is needless exercise for person to arrive before this Court to complain that the Court ought not to have closed the evidence. The right must be taken as available for party to apply to the same Court setting out the reason as to why his presence could not be there at the time when the case was posted and seek for reopening the case and for opportunity to let in further evidence. If such request is made, the Court will consider the same and pass appropriate orders.

2. The revision petition is disposed of on the above observations.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

**January 21, 2016
Pankaj***