

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

CR No. 4005 of 2016
Date of decision : June 3, 2016

Prithvi Pal Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

M/s Triputi Printing Press, Bibiwala Road, Bathinda

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. Gaurav Chopra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J (oral).

The prayer in the revision petition is for setting aside of the impugned order whereby execution application has been dismissed for not furnishing list of property.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that by virtue of the award dated 10.6.2002 the workman has been ordered to be reinstated with continuity of service and back wages and execution application has been filed but the same has been dismissed.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner, appraised the paper book and of the view that right of the workman cannot be taken away for want of furnishing of list of properties.

In view of the aforementioned facts, I am of the view that though an opportunity had been given to the petitioner, yet one last opportunity ought to have been given to the petitioner to furnish list of

properties. The impugned order is set aside. The execution application is ordered to be restored back to its original number. Last opportunity is granted to the petitioner to furnish list of properties seeking execution of the award aforementioned.

The civil revision stands allowed.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

June 3 , 2016
archana