

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

C.R. No.4006 of 2015

Date of Decision.22.01.2016

Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam and othersPetitioners

Vs.

M/s F.X. Enterprise Solutions India Pvt. Ltd.Respondent

Present: Mr. Puneet Jindal, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Varun Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

— • —

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The counsel for the petitioners states that the revision petition has become infructuous in view of the order passed subsequently by the Court below rejecting the plaint on 08.12.2015 and seeks for dismissal of the same as such.
2. The revision petition is dismissed as having become infructuous.

(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE

January 22, 2016
Pankaj*