

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 3697 of 2014.
Date of Decision : 08.01.2016

Satpal

...Petitioner

Versus

Raj Kumar and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH DHALIWAL

- 1) *Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2) *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3) *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present: Mr. Sanjay Mittal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. J.P. Sharma, Advocate
for respondents No. 1 to 3.

Paramjeet Singh Dhaliwal, J.(Oral)

Instant civil revision has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India read with Section 151 C.P.C. for setting aside the impugned order dated 03.03.2014 (Annexure P/1) passed by learned Civil Judge (Jr. Division), Mahendergarh.

Learned counsel for the parties state that parties are agreed that status quo, which has already been granted vide order dated 03.09.2014, shall be maintained by them till the decision of the suit by the trial Court, however, the trial Court be directed to conclude the proceedings in a time bound manner.

In view of the above statement made by learned counsel for the parties, instant revision is disposed of with a direction to the trial Court to expedite the trial and conclude the same preferably within six months after giving three opportunities to the plaintiff and equal number of opportunities to the defendants for leading evidence. In addition, the plaintiff shall also be given one opportunity to lead rebuttal evidence. It is made clear that each opportunity shall not be for a period over one month. In case, the petitioner fails to conclude his evidence within three opportunities, then status quo order would be automatically deemed to have been vacated.

January 08, 2016.
kanchan

(PARAMJEET SINGH DHALIWAL)
JUDGE