

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

ARCHANA ARORA
09/01/2016 15:06
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CR No. 3858 of 2013
Date of decision : January 14, 2016

Jyoti Chawala

..... Petitioner

Versus

Deepika

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sarvjit Singh Khurana, Advocate
for the respondent.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J (oral).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the revision petition has become infructuous as no cause of action survives in the petition.

Ordered accordingly.

The revision is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

January 14 , 2016
archana