

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.3940 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 02.06.2016

Gurinder Singh Matta

.... Petitioner

versus

Raj Kumar & ors.

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. Raman Mohinder Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Ajay Tewari, J.(Oral)

This petition has been filed against the order dated 08.04.2016 passed by Rent Controller declining his application for amendment of the written statement at the time of fog when the case was fixed for arguments.

The plea was that during cross-examination of the landlord petitioner was liable to elicit the fact that he had not only other property in his possession but had also rented out yet some other property and these facts had to be incorporated in the written statement and no evidence was required to be led thereon.

In my opinion, once the landlord averred that he had no other premises in his occupation or nor had vacated any premises and the petitioner had denied that fact then it would not be necessary for the petitioner to give the details of other properties which may have been in occupation of the landlord or may have been rented out by him and even without those details if evidence has come of such a thing, the Court would be bound to consider the said evidence.

Consequently, the present petition stands dismissed.

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**

June 02, 2016
sonia