

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

C. R. NO.3659 OF 2014 (O&M)

DATE OF DECISION: JANUARY 08, 2016

Nirmaljit Kaur and another

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

Manjit Khosla

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Sudhir Mehta, Advocate for
Mr. Mandeep Singh Sachdev, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. A. S. Gill, Advocate,
for the respondent.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioners states that since the vacant possession of the demised premises has been handed over to the respondent-landlord, the present petition be disposed of as infructuous.

Disposed of as infructuous, on the statement made by counsel for the petitioners.

January 08, 2016
khurmi

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE