

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.3902 of 2016
Date of decision : 25.07.2016

Ramesh Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Paramjit Singh

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Rajan Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner-plaintiff is aggrieved of the order dated 04.01.2016, whereby his evidence has been closed by order, as well as, to the order dated 18.05.2016 vide which the application seeking recalling of the order dated 04.01.2016 has been dismissed.

Mr. Rajan Bansal, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner-plaintiff submits that in case, one opportunity is granted, he will conclude the evidence.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and appraised the paper book and of the view that no doubt the petitioner-plaintiff was negligent in concluding the evidence, however, in order to advance justice and prevent miscarriage of justice, I deem it appropriate to grant one

opportunity (effective) to conclude evidence, and also liberty to tender the document in case titled as Ramesh Kumar Vs. Paramjit Singh, bearing Civil Suit No.243.

Keeping in view the aforementioned observations, the impugned orders are set aside and the petitioner-plaintiff shall conclude the evidence, in accordance with law, subject to payment of costs of Rs.5,000/- which shall be condition precedent.

Accordingly, revision petition stands allowed.

25.07.2016

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**