

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

C.R. No. 3890 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 31.05.2016

Ajit Singh alias Mahuwa through his LRs and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

Shri Jainendra Gurukul, Panchkula through its
Secretary and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Sanjeev Pandit, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Adarsh Jain, Advocate
for the caveator-respondent.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This revision has been filed against the concurrent orders of the
Courts below ordering eviction of the petitioner from the house in dispute.

After arguing for some time, on instructions from his client
Purnima who is present in Court, learned counsel for the petitioners has
stated that the petitioners will not press this petition on merits but since the
petitioners are residing in the premises in dispute they are ready to settle this
dispute. Therefore, a period of two years should be granted to them to
vacate the premises voluntarily without forcing the respondent -landlords to
press execution petition.

Learned counsel for the caveator-respondent states that in

principle he has instructions to accept any reasonable time but the period of two years is too excessive since the landlords require the premises for setting up a University and stated that the petitioners should vacate the premises on or before 31.12.2016.

Ultimately what has been settled between the parties is that the petitioners will pay the entire arrears of rent up-to-date to the respondents within a period of one month from today and the respondents will permit him to retain the premises in dispute till 31.05.2017. Further the petitioners will continue to pay rent in advance by the 7th of every month and also the other charges regularly. Further it has been agreed that the petitioners will file an undertaking before the Rent Controller/Executing Court up to 15.07.2016 that they would vacate the premises in dispute voluntarily on or before 31.05.2017 without forcing the landlords to press the execution application and shall continue to pay the rent in advance by the 7th of every month and other charges regularly. Ordered accordingly.

At the request of learned counsel for the respondent-landlords, it is stipulated that in default of any of the above conditions this petition would be deemed to be dismissed.

Petition stands disposed of

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

May 31, 2016
sunita