

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH**

**CR No. 3618 of 2014**

**Date of decision : April 11, 2016**

**Jiwan Dass**

**..... Petitioner**

**Versus**

**Smt. Gurcharan Kaur and others**

**..... Respondents**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL**

**Present:-**

Mr. D. S. Gurna , Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ram Lal Luthra, Advocate for the respondents.

\*\*\*

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

**Amit Rawal, J (oral).**

On perusal of Order 43 Rule 1 CPC, the order passed in the application filed under Order 9 Rule 13 CPC is appealable. However, the petitioner has assailed the order by filing a revision.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks indulgence of this Court to withdraw the revision petition to file an appeal with an application for condonation of delay.

Ordered accordingly.

The revision petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

In case any appeal along with the application for condonation of delay is filed, the same shall be considered sympathetically by taking aid of Section 14 of the Limitation Act, 1963.

**(AMIT RAWAL)  
JUDGE**

**April 11 , 2016  
archana**