

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.3832 of 2016

Date of Decision.30.05.2016

Nazar Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Jaspreet Kaur and another

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. I.S. Brar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The revision petition is filed by the father-in-law who has been ordered to pay maintenance to the daughter-in-law and for the grandson @₹2000/- and ₹2500/- respectively. The contention now raised is that the petitioner is prepared to keep them in his family and maintain them. He would make this plea before the trial Court and seek for an early conclusion of the suit itself. I will find no scope for entertaining this plea by this Court for modification of the order already passed.

2. The order is sustained and the revision petition is dismissed.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

May 30, 2016
Pankaj*