

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.3842 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision : 11.07.2016

Pyara Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Bikkar Singh and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.**

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Deepak Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Binderjit Singh, Advocate for respondent No.1.

\*\*\*\*

**AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)**

This revision petition is directed against the order passed by the lower Appellate Court, whereby application at the instance of the respondent-plaintiff seeking appointment of the Local Commissioner has been allowed.

Mr. Deepak Aggarwal, learned counsel appearing on behalf of petitioner submits that plaintiff miserably failed to lead evidence at the trial stage. Even an application for additional evidence is still pending.

Mr. Binderjit Singh, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.1 on the last date of hearing has sought short accommodation

to address this Court as to whether his client is ready and willing to withdraw the instant suit with a liberty to file fresh one with better particulars and documents. He further informs that he may be granted permission to withdraw the suit with liberty, aforementioned.

Mr. Deepak Aggarwal, learned counsel for the petitioner does not oppose this fact provided that his client be given liberty to take all possible pleas in view of the aforementioned fact.

Appeal at the instance of the respondent is ordered to be dismissed as withdrawn and as well as the suit seeking permanent injunction.

Liberty is granted to the respondent-plaintiff to file suit with better particulars and with appropriate relief in accordance with law.

Revision petition stands disposed of in aforementioned terms.

**11.07.2016**

*pawan*

**(AMIT RAWAL)  
JUDGE**