

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.3642 of 2005
Date of decision: 14.01.2016

Tilak Raj

....Petitioner

Versus

Phul Chand Verma and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH DHALIWAL

- 1) Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
- 2) To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
- 3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: - Mr. S.C. Chhabbra, Advocate, for the petitioner.

PARAMJEET SINGH DHALIWAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has informed him that matter has already been disposed of by the trial Court, therefore, this petition has been rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous. In case, the statement is found to be incorrect, petitioner will be at liberty to move an application for revival of this petition.

**(Paramjeet Singh Dhaliwal)
Judge**

**January 14, 2016
R.S.**