

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

ARCHANA ARORA
09/04/2016 10:59
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CR No. 3731 of 2013
Date of decision : March 30, 2016

Sukhwant

..... Petitioner

Versus

Adarsh Kumar

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Punchhi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

None for the respondent.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J (oral).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the parties have compromised the matter and seeks withdrawal of the revision petition.

Ordered accordingly.

The revision petition is ordered to be dismissed as withdrawn. If the position is found otherwise, the respondent is at liberty to revive the revision petition.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

March 30, 2016
archana