

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

C.R.No.3709 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of Decision: February 04, 2016

Pooja

...Petitioner

Versus

Sandeep Kumar

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr.Parshotam Lal Singla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Sherry Singla, Advocate,
for the respondent.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the parties are ad-idem that during the pendency of the present revision petition, the parties have compromised the matter and no cause of action survives.

In view of the aforementioned statement, revision petition is disposed of as infructuous.

February 04, 2016
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE