

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.3758 of 2016

Date of Decision.27.05.2016

Davinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Sukhpal Singh and another

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Gurcharan Dass, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The grievance of the petitioner is that the plaintiff's side has been closed without affording adequate opportunity. The order passed is set aside, dispensing with notice to the respondents on condition that the plaintiff pays costs of ₹5000/- to the defendants within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order, failing which the order already passed will stand.

2. The civil revision is allowed on the above terms.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

May 27, 2016
Pankaj*