

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

CR No. 3662 of 2013(O&M)
Date of decision : April 4, 2016

Dukhniwarn Educational Trust

..... Petitioner

Versus

Sewa Singh and others

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. B. B. S. Sobti, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjeev Manrai, Senior Advocate with
Mr. S. P. Garg, Advocate
for respondent No.15.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J (oral).

Learned counsel for the petitioner is fair enough in bringing to the notice of the Court that during the pendency of the revision petition, suit in which ad interim injunction was sought, the same has been dismissed and statutory appeal wherein interim injunction was sought, the same has also been dismissed, therefore, no cause of action survives in the application filed under Order 39 Rules 1 and 2 CPC provided that the appellate court is directed to decide the appeal independently un-influenced by the finding rendered by the trial court. This fact is not disputed by the learned Senior counsel for

the respondent.

The revision petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

April 4, 2016
archana