

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No. 3601 of 2013 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 28.01.2016**

Brij Bhushan Bansal and another

... Petitioners

versus

Balwant Singh Randhawa and others

.... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN**

----

Present: Mr. Puneet Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioners.  
Mr. HNS Gill, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Mr. M.L. Saggar, Senior Advocate, with  
Mr. Gaurav Grover, Advocate for the respondents.

**K.Kannan, J. (ORAL)**

The revision is against the order closing the plaintiff's side and dismissing also the prayer for production of all account books from the year 1990 of the Trust. The Court has rejected the prayer for production of documents as premature.

Considering the fact that the suit is for reliefs under Section 92 CPC, I deem it appropriate to order reopening of the case to allow the plaintiff to bring his witnesses and will also afford to the plaintiff opportunity to set out specific nature of documents which are necessary that should have a bearing on the issues involved in the suit. If any such request is made, the Court will examine the relevance and the scope for production after hearing the objections from the respondents. The court will have either the power to compel the production of documents or making appropriate inferences adversely to the party who may be in possession of the documents but who is unwilling to produce the same. That decision will be taken after hearing the objections from the respondent.

The order already passed is set aside and the civil revision petition is allowed with above observations.

**(K.KANNAN)**  
**JUDGE**

28.01.2016

kv