

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.3689 of 2016

Date of Decision.25.05.2016

Kajal Kiran @ Kajal Moudgill and anotherPetitioners

Vs.

Kuldeep Singh and anotherRespondents

Present: Mr. Vivek Salathia, Advocate
for the petitioners.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The transfer of the suit instituted in the year 2009 is made by the Court to the Court which is now newly constituted in the year 2014. The grievance is that the petitioner is widow and the plea for transfer was not taken immediately after the constitution of new court. If new courts are constituted under a policy that has come about to help the litigants in securing justice close to their own places of residence and if the property is situate within the jurisdiction where the Court is now set up, it will be only appropriate that the trial progresses in the Court to which the Court has ordered for transfer.

2. I make no intervention to the order already passed. The revision petition is dismissed.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

May 25, 2016
Pankaj*