

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

C.R. No. 3687 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 03.06.2016

Sukhmander Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Inderjit Singh

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Deepak Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms.Mansi Bansal, Advocate
for the respondent.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This revision has been filed against the concurrent orders of the Courts below ordering eviction of the petitioner from the shop in dispute.

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, states that the petitioner will not press this revision on merits and will vacate the premises voluntarily on or before 30.04.2017 without forcing the respondent-landlord to press execution petition and will continue to pay the monthly contractual rent in advance by the 7th of every month, and pay the other admissible charges regularly. He further undertakes to pay the entire arrears of rent, if any, by 15.07.2016.

Learned counsel for the respondent, on instructions, has also accepted this offer on the condition that the petitioner will file an undertaking to the above effect before the trial Court/Executing Court by 15.07.2016 with the condition that in the event of any default, this petition would be deemed to be dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has accepted this condition.

Resultantly, the revision petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

June 03, 2016
sunita