

239

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-3682-2015

Date of decision : 15.02.2016

Balwinder Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

Baljit Kaur and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Amrik Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

None for respondent(s).

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

As per the office report, service upon respondent No.1 has been effected in the month of September 2015, but no one has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.1.

The challenge in this revision petition to the impugned order dated 13.05.2015, vide which the recounting of the votes in the election petition challenging the election of the petitioner as Sarpanch, has been ordered.

This Court had issued notice of motion on 27.05.2015.

The order under challenge appears to have been complied with,

therefore, no cause of action survives in the revision petition. The petitioner shall be at liberty to challenge the order in case of dismissal of the election petition by filing the statutory appeal.

In view of the aforementioned facts, the impugned order is affirmed.

With the aforesaid observations, the revision petition stands disposed of.

15.02.2016
yogesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE