

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-3655-2015

Date of decision : 04.10.2016

Ramdhari

... Petitioner

Versus

Oriental Insurance Company Ltd. and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Neeraj Khanna, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashwani Talwar, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is aggrieved of the impugned award, whereby claim petition has been disposed of by assessing the compensation and the Insurance Company has been exonerated on the premise that vehicle in question was not insured.

This Court confronted Mr. Neeraj Khanna regarding the maintainability of the revision petition as he during the course of the hearing submits that after having obtained information by RTI accepted that the vehicle was insured.

Since, the petitioner has acquired the knowledge of the fact which could have been easily placed on record by way of additional evidence, in case any appeal under the statutory provisions of law i.e. under Section 173 of the Motor Vehicles Act, had been filed.

Liberty is granted to file an appeal accompanied by an application in accordance with law.

In view of the above, the present revision petition is disposed of.

04.10.2016
Yogesh Sharma

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

	✓
Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/ No
	✓
Whether Reportable	Yes/ No