

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.3322 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: 21.11.2016

Tanu Kaliraman

....Petitioner

Versus

Vivek Kaliraman

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present: Mr. Atul Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Monika Dudani, Advocate
for the respondent.

REKHA MITTAL J. (Oral)

Counsel for the petitioner states that the respondent/husband has not paid any maintenance since July, 2015 and an amount of approximately more than Rs.10 lacs is due and payable.

Counsel for the respondent, on the contrary, has informed the Court that the petition for divorce filed by the respondent/husband of which the present petition is an off-shoot has since been withdrawn in July, 2015, therefore, the order with regard to grant of interim maintenance ceases to exist subsequent to withdrawal of the petition but the petitioner may take recourse to appropriate proceedings in law for seeking recovery of any interim maintenance which remains due and payable under the order passed by the Court below.

Counsel for the petitioner, in view of the statement made by counsel for the respondent states that the petition may be dismissed as withdrawn but without prejudice to rights of the petitioner to avail remedy under law.

Ordered accordingly.

**(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE**

21.11.2016

yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No