

118.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.3581 of 2016

Date of decision:23.05.2016

Sarabjit Kaur and others

... Petitioners

versus

Surjit Singh and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. G.S.Punia, Senior Advocate,
with Ms. Harveen Kaur, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

1. A Presiding Officer who recalled his own order dated 15.01.2016 as having been wrongly passed by reproducing the first order which was passed before remand instead of the order that he had actually dictated in his laptop and should have been printed out and given to the parties. The court has merely allowed the application without making available the order which had been allegedly dictated and which ought to have been shown as an order passed on 15.01.2016. I direct the trial court to give a fresh copy of the order dated 15.01.2016 which purports to be the order that was intended to be pronounced but not actually pronounced. The said order of dismissal is directed to be pronounced and a copy delivered to the party within a week from the date of receipt of copy of this

order. If the petitioner is aggrieved against the dismissal of the petition, he is at liberty to prefer an appeal against the said judgment. If the court does not make available the said order within a week, he is at liberty to approach this court for appropriate action.

2. Civil revision is disposed of on the above terms.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

23.05.2016
sanjeev