

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

-.-

CR 3281 of 2014
date of decision 28.09.2016

Kalawati
vs
Arjun and others

.....*Petitioner*

.....*Respondents*

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

-.-

Present : Mr. Upendra, Advocate for
Mr. Saurabh Garg, Advocate
for the appellant/petitioner
Mr. Arvind Bansal, Advocate
for respondents 2, 4 to 6, 8 to 11, 13 to 16 and 18

-.-

Rekha Mittal, J (Oral)

Counsel for the petitioner has failed to file an application for impleading legal representatives of respondents No.1, 3, 7, 12 and 17. Counsel has submitted that despite his repeated efforts, he could not get any response from his client for doing the needful. As a consequence, the petition against respondents No. 1, 3, 7, 12 and 17 is ordered to be dismissed.

As the present petition has been preferred against order dated 22.01.2014 passed by the trial Court whereby an ex parte decree has been set aside by allowing application under Order 9 Rule 13 of the Code of Civil Procedure and the petition against some of the respondents/applicants has been dismissed, no decision qua merit even in regard to the respondents present before the Court contrary to the decision in favour of respondents No. 1, 3, 7, 12 and 17 can be made. As a consequence, the petition is ordered to be dismissed.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

28.09.2016
mohan bimbra

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No