

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.3542 of 2016

Date of Decision.20.05.2016

Harinder Kaur alias Harbhajan Kaur

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Jagtar Singh and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Saravpreet Gurna, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The application for recalling of expert for further cross-examination was declined by the trial Court. The trial Court has observed that the plaintiff had availed to himself sufficient opportunities and even in the application for recall of the witnesses, nothing has brought as to what was the important point that was left to be asked and how an additional cross-examination will advance the case. The Court has also observed that a mere engagement of yet another counsel will not secure the position better for a party to be indefinitely allowing to themselves the opportunity to cross-examine. There is nothing extraordinary or illegal about an order to make an interference under Article 227 of the Constitution.

2. The revision petition is without merit and it is dismissed.

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**

May 20, 2016
Pankaj*