

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.3526 of 2016

Date of Decision: October 19th, 2016

Satnam Singh & others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

Present: Mr.O.P.Kamboj, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr.Amit Chaudhary, Addl.A.G.Punjab,
for the State-caveator.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the parties are ad idem that instead of pondering upon the ad-interim application, the parties may be directed to maintain status-quo qua the suit property till the disposal of the suit.

The suit is at the instance of the State of Punjab challenging the judgment and decree dated 3.6.2011. Though the trial Court declined the stay, but the counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are in cultivating possession of the suit land and instead of restraining them from interfering into possession, status-quo order may be passed. The learned counsel for the parties further submit that in case this Court deems fit, may direct the trial Court to expedite the disposal of the suit.

In view of the aforementioned fact, the present revision petition is disposed of with a direction that instead of restraint order, there shall be status-quo order qua the suit property as it exists today. The trial Court is

also directed to expedite the disposal of the suit within a period of one year
by affording 4-4 effective opportunities to the parties.

October 19th, 2016
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No