

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.3477 of 2016

Date of Decision.18.05.2016

Jagir Kaur and another

.....Petitioners

Vs.

Shri Nangali Agro Tech Private Limited and another

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. Defendants No.2 and 3 who have been impleaded as subsequent purchasers have been prevented from cross-examining the 1st defendant who had given his evidence by order of Court. The order is erroneous.

2. Defendant Nos.2 and 3 will be permitted to cross-examine the 1st defendant before the cross-examination of the plaintiff begins. If the plaintiff has already cross-examined the 1st defendant, defendant Nos.2 and 3 will be permitted to cross-examine him and the plaintiff will be permitted to cross-examine the 1st defendant again, if he so wishes.

3. The revision petition is allowed with the above directions, dispensing with notice to the respondents.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

May 18, 2016
Pankaj*