

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.3471 of 2016

Date of Decision.18.05.2016

Satnam Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Gurnam Singh and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Rajnish K. Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner will not be heard to say that the side has been closed by the Court without affording sufficient opportunity. It will be open to the petitioner to approach the very same Court and set out reasons as to why he could not be present and provide justification for his absence. If the petition is filed setting out circumstance of his absence, the Court will examine the facts and pass appropriate orders including an order setting aside the closing of evidence and allowing for opportunity to be given to the petitioner.

2. The revision petition is disposed of with the above observations.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

May 18, 2016
Pankaj*