

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.3470 of 2016**

**Date of Decision.18.05.2016**

Harsimar Enterprises

.....Petitioner

Vs.

M/s Vogue Enterprises and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. R.D. Vinayak, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

**CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN**

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

**K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)**

1. A partner of firm who gave statement which was factually correct that the firm was not registered wanted to substitute through an affidavit the actual fact of registration of the firm and bring proof of such registration through document of registration. The Court has declined the opportunity to bring on record the document and the additional affidavit sought to be filed. The Court ought to have received it. If there was any statement made which is factually incorrect, the party shall be at all times entitled to bring the factual details in Court.

2. Notice to the respondents is dispensed with. The order already passed is set aside and the revision petition is allowed.

**(K. KANNAN)  
JUDGE**

**May 18, 2016**  
Pankaj\*