

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.343 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 10.02.2016

Man Mohan Gandhi

..... Petitioner

Versus

Smt. Santosh Kumari Bareja

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Raj Kumar Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Adarsh Jain, Advocate
for the respondent.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This revision has been filed against the concurrent orders of the Courts below allowing ejectment of the petitioner-tenant from the demised premises.

Today on instructions from his client the learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that the petitioner will not press this petition on merits and will vacate the premises voluntarily by 31.03.2017 without forcing the respondent to press the execution petition and will continue to pay the rent in advance by the 7th of every month and the other charges also.

Learned counsel for the respondent has also accepted this offer

on the condition that the petitioner withdraws this petition and file an undertaking to the above effect before the Executing Court within one month, failing which, this revision should be deemed to be dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has accepted this condition.

In the circumstances, the revision petition is disposed of in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

February 10, 2016

ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**