

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.3425 of 2016

Date of Decision.16.05.2016

Rajiv Sachdeva and another

.....Petitioners

Vs.

Dilbagh Singh and another

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Sameer Sachdeva, Advocate
for the petitioners.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. There is no need for interference in revision petition in a case where the appeals are said to be pending before the Appellate Court. Any direction regarding stay of that decree must be obtained only before the Appellate Court and there will be no scope for intervention in revision petition.

2. The revision petition is dismissed.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

May 16, 2016
Pankaj*