

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

**CR No. 3400 of 2016(O&M)
Date of decision :01.06.2016**

**Lala Lajpat Rai University of Veterinary & Animal Science,
Hisar**

.....Petitioner

Versus

Priyanaka

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DARSHAN SINGH

Present: Mr.D.S.Rawat, Advocate
for the applicant-petitioner.

Mr. Manjeet Singh, Advocate
for respondent.

DARSHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)
CM No. 11537-CII of 2016

This application has been filed for placing on record
the Annexures P-13 and P-14.

Heard on the application.

In view of the reasons mentioned in the application,
the present application stands allowed and Annexures P-13 to
P-14 are taken on record subject to just objections of the
opposite party.

CM No. 11962-CII of 2016

This application has been filed by applicant-
respondent for placing on record the additional affidavit of the
respondent and documents from Annexures R-1 to R-30.

Heard on the application.

In view of the reasons mentioned in the application, the present application stands allowed and additional affidavit of the respondent and documents Annexures R-1 to R-30 are taken on record subject to just objections of the opposite party.

CR No. 3400 of 2016(O&M)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that respondent-plaintiff has sought the admission on the basis of the certificate issued by the fake and factitious education board as held by this Court in L.P.A No. 1759 of 2013. However, he admitted that result of the investigation of the criminal case registered by the Madhya Pradesh police is not known to the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the respondent contended that the major grievance of the respondent-plaintiff is that petitioner-University is not allowing her to join the internship, though her result for the 1st semester of 5th year has already been declared. The Courts below had directed the petitioner-University to allow the respondent to join the internship. The learned First Appellate Court has even directed to return the original documents/certificates to the respondent.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner-University contended that the petitioner-University can allow the respondent to join the internship subject to the decision of the suit. But, she is not entitled for return of the original

documents till the decision of the suit or the outcome thereof.

He further contended that the respondent has even initiated the proceedings under Order 39 Rule 2A of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 before the learned trial Court against the petitioner-University for seeking the aforesaid relief.

4. Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the respondent pleaded that at present, respondent-plaintiff Priyanka may be allowed to join the internship and she will not press for return of the original documents till the decision of the suit.

5. Thus, keeping in view the aforesaid submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, the present revision petition is hereby disposed of with the direction that the petitioner-University shall allow respondent-Priyanka to join the internship, which shall be purely subject to the decision of the suit and will not confer any legal right upon the plaintiff-respondent. The petitioner-University shall be entitled to retain the original certificates/documents submitted by respondent-plaintiff till the decision of the suit.

6. As the career of respondent-Priyanka is at stake, the learned trial Court will make every possible endeavour for expeditious disposal of the suit.

01.06.2016

S.khan

(DARSHAN SINGH)
JUDGE