

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

PARAMJIT KAUR SAINI
2016.05.19 16:06
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

Civil Revision No. 3392 of 2016

Date of Decision: 19.5.2016

Dilbag Singh

---Petitioner

versus

Sona Singh and others

---Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

Present: Mr. Anupam Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Rekha Mittal, J.

The present petition has been directed against order dated 29.2.2016 (Annexure P-2) passed by the Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Baba Bakala Sahib (executing Court) whereby the objection petition preferred by the petitioner has been dismissed.

I have gone through the impugned order but find myself unable to accept the contention raised in the petition that the impugned order suffers from any error much less illegality as would call for intervention. Counsel for the petitioner is not in a position to substantiate his contention that the impugned order suffers from any infirmity or liable to be varied much less set aside.

For the foregoing reasons, the petition fails and is accordingly dismissed.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

19.5.2016

PARAMJIT