

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

CR No.3391 of 2016

Date of decision: 13.05.2016

Sarabjit Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

Paramjit Singh and another

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL**

**Present:** Mr. Bhriugu Dutt Sharma, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

**REKHA MITTAL J. (Oral)**

After arguing for some time, counsel for the petitioner states that the petition may be dismissed as withdrawn as the appropriate remedy with the petitioner is to file an appeal before the Court of District Judge.

Perusal of the impugned order would reveal that the petitioner has not raised any plea that she is in possession of the suit property or is being dispossessed in execution of the judgment and decree sought to be executed. However, in view of the statement made by counsel for the petitioner, the petition is dismissed as withdrawn without prejudice to the rights of the petitioner to avail appropriate remedy in accordance with law. Any observation made by this Court would not cause any prejudice to the rights of the petitioner.

**(REKHA MITTAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**13.05.2016**

*yakub*