

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.3363 of 2016

Date of Decision.12.05.2016

Smt. Krishna Devi and another

.....Petitioners

Vs.

Inderpal

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Manish Mehta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is aggrieved that application to set aside the ex parte decree has been dismissed. The order is erroneous which has set down the law given by this Court that there is no period of limitation for setting aside an ex parte order but still observed that the conduct of the defendant was not appropriate to allow the benefit of ex parte order to be set aside. I dispense with notice to the respondent and allow the application to set aside the ex parte order passed against them on payment of costs of ₹5000/- to the plaintiff within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order failing which the order already passed will stand confirmed.

2. The revision petition is disposed of with the above observations.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

May 12, 2016
Pankaj*