

240 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CR-3332-2016 (O&M)  
Date of decision : 25.05.2016

Mohinder Lal ..... PETITIONER

VS

Sh. Ramesh Gilhotra ..... RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Mukesh Rao, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. B.B.Sharma, Advocate  
for the respondent.

\*\*\*

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

**AJAY TEWARI, J. (ORAL)**

This petition was filed on the two grounds, one that the mesne profits were too high and second that they had been ordered to be paid to the landlord.

As regards the first ground the same was rejected by order dated 11.05.2016. As regards the second ground learned counsel for the respondent has very fairly stated that in terms of the decision of the Supreme Court in *The State of Maharashtra and another Vs. Super Max International Pvt. Ltd. and others, reported as AIR 2010 SC 722* the amount of mesne profits may be put in a fixed deposit.

In the circumstances, it is directed that all the mesne profits which have been deposited till today (over and above the contractual rent) would be put in a fixed deposit by the appellate authority in a nationalized bank. Future mesne profits would be put in a recurring deposit in a nationalized bank. The amounts in the said deposits would enure to the benefit of the party successful in the litigation.

Petition is disposed of in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

**25.05. 2016**

*Pooja sharma-I*

**( AJAY TEWARI )  
JUDGE**