

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.3316 of 2016

Date of Decision.09.05.2016

Gurmeet Kaur

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Tej Kaur alias Ranjit Kaur and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. A.S. Jattana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The defendant is the appellant. The defendant who had admitted to the character of the property as ancestral wants to withdraw from that admission on the plea that the advocate misguided him and stated that without his instruction. Such kind of contention cannot be permitted to be taken against his own counsel. If there is a plea of fraud or collusion by the counsel against the party, the defendant will have independent remedy in the manner known to law. Amendment in the pleading cannot be permitted in the manner in which it is sought withdrawing an admission.

2. The application for amendment is rightly rejected and I find no reason for interfering with the same. The revision petition is dismissed.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

May 09, 2016
Pankaj*