

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 3199 of 2013 (O&M)

Date of decision: January 18,2016

Gurjit Singh

.....Petitioner

VS.

Balbir Kaur and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.KANNAN

Present: Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurshamshir Waraich, Advocate for
Mr. K.S.Boparai, Advocate
for the respondents.

1. **Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?**
2. **To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

K.KANNAN,J(Oral)

1. The revision is against the order passed under Order 6 Rule 17 CPC in a pending suit. Counsel for the respondent states that the suit itself has been disposed of. The revision petition has become infructuous and accordingly dismissed.

2. At this stage, the counsel for the petitioner states that he has no instructions of whether the suit has been disposed of. He is at liberty to apply this court for review of the order if the suit is still pending.

JANUARY 18, 2016

Rajeev

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**