

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

CR No. 3286 of 2016

Date of decision : May 9, 2016

Murti Devi and others

..... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

**Present:- Mr. Ram Kumar Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.**

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J (oral).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite having been brought to the notice of the court below, the order dated 11.4.2014 passed in CR No. 2652 of 2014 (Annexure P-3) whereby direction was issued to the Executing Court to decide the execution application within three months but the order has not been implemented.

The zimni order reveals that the matter is being lingered on, on the ground of transfer or for the purpose of calculations being submitted by the parties to the l/s, thus, more than three months have elapsed.

In view of the aforementioned facts, revision petition

is disposed of with a direction to the executing court to implement the order dated 11.4.2014 as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of two months, failing which, explanation should be given as to why the order of this Court has not been implemented.

The revision petition stands disposed of.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

May 9 , 2016
archana